

Final Event 21 / 22 November 2023

How the VVM overall methodology supports the safety case

Helmut Schittenhelm, Mercedes-Benz

Supported by:

Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action

on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag

The four Elements of the VVM Methology





Development & Operation | Global





Risk Management



The four Elements of the VVM Methology





VVM Final Event | The VVM safety case approach and process view



Overall Methodology – focus: "System Behavior with *acceptable Risk*"





 Starting with the V-Model …





... adding anODD model ...







... a systematic Analysis of the Problem Space provides the basis for a deep understanding of the operational environment and identifies the dominant characteristics, risks, relationships and scenario classes that are relevant to the safe operation of an automated driving system...





... during the specification of the **normative system behavior**, a set of requirements is defined. These requirements represent the legal (e.g. behavioral law), societal, and ethical expectations regarding the automated driving system. Clear definitions of the boundaries of ADS behavior with respect to these constraints are defined ...





... based on the ODD Metamodel and an understanding of the proposed customer function, a **systematic hazard and risk identification and analysis** is performed for SOTIF. This includes a consideration of a failure in the function together with a systemic view of intrinsically hazardous conditions within the interaction between the ADS equipped vehicle and its environment that need to be avoided....





... In risk management, safety measures are defined that result in an acceptable residual risk for the automated driving system in hazardous events systemically identified in CORE scenarios and their triggering conditions. For this purpose, the "Risk Management Core" (RMC) is proposed as a process tool. The RMC is an iterative process for aligning actual risk with accepted risk using safety measures. ...





...the automated driving system and its components are verified in order to show that they behave as specified in the ODD Metamodel especially in known but unsafe (near misses/pot. collisions) regions of all CORE scenarios. Furthermore, it must be proven that the system and its components do not contain any undesired functionality or show operation characteristics which might violate safety objectives in its ODD...





... validation proves "Is the specification correct and sufficient?" respectively "Are Stakeholder especially customer approvals available?". Beyond safety and law compliant behavior, Usability, Controllability and acceptability are validation objectives. Validation includes the validation of the ODD Metamodell ...





... Evaluation of potential safety risks & compliance with legal requirements consists of three components, the simulation to verify the functional correctness and safe behavior of the driving function in the ODD Metamodel, the evaluation of the sensor performance in real traffic, and an assessment regarding acceptable residual risk and legal compliance. ...





... For each method selected to provide confirmation of a particular quality attribute in the VVM overall approach, a set of criteria has been defined that assesses the contribution of the analysis, verification or test method to the associated quality attribute as directly as possible. The achievement of these criteria is then the "reason" for the corresponding statements in the safety case...



Thank you!

Helmut Schittenhelm, Mercedes Benz helmut.schittenhelm@mercedes-benz.com





A project developed by the VDA Leitinitiative autonomous and connected driving Supported by:

Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action

on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag